

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	:	
Jaussaud, Dave, et al.	:	
	:	Art Unit: Not yet assigned
Serial No.	:	
	:	Examiner: Not yet assigned
	:	
Filing Date: Concurrently herewith	:	Confirmation No.
	:	
Attorney Docket No. P-1084B	:	
	:	
For: PROCESS FOR USE OF	:	
MOLECULAR SIEVE	:	
ADSORBENT BLENDS	:	

Mail Stop Patent Application  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

BACKGROUND

The applicants filed U.S. Application No. 10/054,041 on January 22, 2002. (That application is the parent application to this application.) That parent application, as filed, contained 24 Claims. Claims 1 - 7 claimed a process for the production of a molecular sieve adsorbent blend product and included dependent claims depending thereon. Claims 8 - 12 claimed a molecular sieve adsorbent blend product with certain physical characteristics and included dependent claims depending thereon. In addition, the applicants claimed a group of processes for the use of the molecular sieve adsorbent blend products produced by the process of Claim 1 in Claims 13 and 15 - 24. Claim 14 claimed a process for the use of the product of Claim 8.

On March 25, 2003, in parent Application Serial No. 10/054,041 the USPTO issued an Office Action restricting the invention among Invention I comprising Claims 1 - 12 drawn to an adsorption composition, Invention II comprising Claims 13 - 23 drawn to a separation process, and Invention III comprising Claim 24 drawn to a catalyst conversion process. In a Response filed on April 2, 2003, the applicants elected to prosecute Claims 1 - 12, cancelling Claims 13 - 24, but reserving the right to prosecute Claims 13 - 24 in a later filed divisional patent application. Claims 1 - 12 have been allowed in a Notice of Allowance dated October 28, 2003.

This application is intending to prosecute original Claims 13 - 23 from the parent application, which were canceled as a result of the restriction requirement of the USPTO.

The preliminary classification of those claims in the restriction requirement Office Action was Class 95, subclass 90+.